

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/550,600	09/23/2005	Norbert Erhardt	66489-071-7	1969	
25269 77590 01/3/2009 DYKEMA GOSSETT PLLC FRANKLIN SQUARE, THIRD FLOOR WEST			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			HO, Al	HO, ALLEN C	
1300 I STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			01/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/550,600 ERHARDT ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Allen C. Ho 2882 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 28.29.31.33 and 34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 28,29,31,33 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2005 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date __ 6) Other:

Art Unit: 2882

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they
include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description: 53.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the means for the creation of 3D images of a subvolume of a mandibular arch of the patient, which means create several 2D images from different directions as claimed in claims 28 and 29 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the connecting means for the attachment of the camera to a support of the camera as claimed in claim 34 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the

renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - (1) Page 11, line 19, --55-- should be inserted after "camera".
 - (2) Page 13, line 10, "2" should be replaced by --55--.
 - (3) Page 13, line 11, "2" should be replaced by --55--.
 - (4) Page 13, line 12, "2" should be replaced by --55--.
 - (5) Page 13, line 13, "2" should be replaced by --55--.
 - (6) Page 13, line 14, "2" should be replaced by --55--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 28 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply
with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described

in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Claims 28 and 29 recite "means for the creation of 3D images of a subvolume of a mandibular arch of the patient, which means create several 2D images from different directions and compute a 3D image therefrom using cone beam technology with associated construction algorithms," First of all, the specification does not describe means for creating several 2D image from different directions. It is unknown how the camera is used to create several 2D images from different direction. Secondly, the specification does not describe a means for computing a 3D image using cone beam technology with associated reconstruction algorithm.

Claim 29 recite "said camera is moved out of the optical path between said x-ray emitter and said image detector of said installation." The description does not describe any mechanism for moving the camera out of the optical path between the x-ray emitter and the image detector of the installation.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 8 Claims 28, 29, 31, 33, and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 28 and 29 recite "adjustment means for adjusting said camera and/or said second image detector and/or said x-ray emitter and/or a primary diaphragm and/or combinations thereof". This limitation is indefinite since it is unclear what combination is referred to. The examiner suggests replacing it with Markush language. Clarification is requested.

Application/Control Number: 10/550,600

Art Unit: 2882

Claims 28 and 29 recite "a primary diaphragm" in a passive manner. This limitation is indefinite. It is unclear whether or not the x-ray system actually comprises a primary diaphragm.

Claims 28 and 29 recite the limitation "the optical path". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Furthermore, this is confusing because x-rays are not optical rays.

Claim 29 recites "when the x-ray emitter is aligned for the creation of a teleradiographic image, said camera is move out of the optical path between said x-ray emitter and said image detector of said installation for the creation of teleradiographic images." This limitation is indefinite. First of all, the recitation does not identify with which structural element is the x-ray emitter aligned for the creation of teleradiographic images. Furthermore, the limitation does not identify a mechanism for moving the camera. It is unknown how the camera is moved out of the optical path.

Claim 31 recites "a common casing" in a passive manner. This limitation is indefinite. It is unclear whether or not the x-ray sensitive camera actually comprises a common casing.

Claim 34 recites "in a region of connecting means for the attachment of said camera to a support". This limitation is indefinite. It is unclear what is meant by "in a region of connecting means." Furthermore, it is unclear whether the x-ray sensitive camera actually comprises the connecting means and the support since they are recited in a passive manner.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allen C. Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-2491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward J. Glick can be reached on (571) 272-2490. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Allen C. Ho/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2882

09 January 2009